IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE Nashville Division

L.W., by and through her parents and next friends, Samantha Williams and Brian Williams, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JONATHAN SKRMETTI, in his official capacity as the Tennessee Attorney General and Reporter, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil No. 3:23-cv-00376

Judge Richardson

Judge Newbern

PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO PROCEED PSEUDONYMOUSLY

Plaintiffs John Doe, by and through his parents and next friends, Jane Doe and James Doe; James Doe; Ryan Roe, by and through his parent and next friend, Rebecca Roe; and Rebecca Roe (collectively, "Movants") hereby submit their reply to *Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Proceed Pseudonymously*, dated May 2, 2023 [ECF No. 76] (the "Response"). Through this reply, Movants seek to underscore the importance of proceeding under pseudonym and oppose one of the Defendants' proposed three conditions to consenting to the *Plaintiffs' Motion to Proceed Pseudonymously*, dated April 21, 2023 [ECF No. 19] (the "Motion").

ARGUMENT

As explained in the Motion, courts in this Circuit have repeatedly permitted transgender individuals to proceed pseudonymously in recognition of the intimate nature of the information required to be disclosed, as well as the risks of "retaliation, harassment, and social stigma" associated with their gender identity. *Ray v. Dir., Ohio Dep't of Health*, No. 2:18-cv-272, 2018 WL 8804858, at *1 (S.D. Ohio Apr. 5, 2018); *see also, e.g., Bd. of Educ. of Highland Loc. Sch. Dist. v. U.S. Dep't of Educ.*, No. 2:16-CV-524, 2016 WL 4269080, at *5 (S.D. Ohio Aug. 15, 2016); *Love v. Johnson*, 146 F. Supp. 3d 848, 856 (E.D. Mich. 2015).

In their Response, Defendants ask the Court to place three conditions on Movants' right to proceed pseudonymously. The first and third are acceptable to Movants; the second is not. Specifically, Defendants' second proposed condition is that "during this litigation, Defendants shall not publicly or privately disclose or identify for any purpose that these movant-plaintiffs are parties to the case, *except 'to the full extent necessary to defend the law being challenged*." Response at 689 (emphasis added). Defendants offer no support for demanding the unbounded

2

¹ Pincites to record materials reference the "Page ID" numbers in the ECF file stamps.

right to disclose "publicly" Plaintiffs' identities as they see fit and fail to explain how Movants would be protected by an order that cedes to the opposing party the authority to determine whether and when to reveal their identities.

The Amended Proposed Order, attached as Exhibit 1, incorporates the first and third conditions requested by Defendants and otherwise sets forth the specific procedures and protections that Movants believe are appropriate to protecting their identity, while still giving Defendants sufficient room to defend the Ban.² Among other things, the Amended Proposed Order (i) permits Defendants' counsel to disclose Identifying Information to Defendants, Defendants' employees, and experts retained in this action "to the minimum extent necessary to litigate this action," provided such persons have been provided with and read the Amended Proposed Order, (ii) permits Defendants' counsel to disclose Identifying Information on third-party subpoenas "to the minimum extent necessary to litigate this action," provided such third-party has been provided with and read the Amended Proposed Order, and (iii) provides a mechanism for the parties to meetand-confer if future issues arise and seek Court intervention as needed. To require any less would endanger Movants, particularly given the reality that involuntary disclosure of a person's transgender status "exposes transgender individuals to a substantial risk of stigma, discrimination, intimidation, violence, and danger." See Arroyo Gonzalez v. Rossello Nevares, 305 F. Supp. 3d 327, 333 (D.P.R. 2018).

For the foregoing reasons, and those identified in the Motion, the Court should grant the Motion and order the relief set forth in the Amended Proposed Order.

_

² Plaintiffs provided a copy of the Amended Proposed Order to Defendants in an effort to resolve this dispute without the Court's involvement, but Defendants rejected Plaintiffs' offer and continue to insist upon the inclusion of all three conditions.

Dated: May 9, 2023

s/ Stella Yarbrough

Stella Yarbrough, BPR No. 033637

Lucas Cameron-Vaughn, BPR No. 036284

Jeff Preptit, BPR No. 038451 ACLU Foundation of Tennessee

P.O. Box 120160 Nashville, TN 37212 Tel.: 615-320-7142 syarbrough@aclu-tn.org lucas@aclu-tn.org jpreptit@aclu-tn.org Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

Joshua A. Block* Chase Strangio*

American Civil Liberties Union Foundation

125 Broad Street, Floor 18 New York, NY 10004 Tel.: 212-549-2593 jblock@aclu.org cstrangio@aclu.org Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

Sruti J. Swaminathan*

Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund,

Inc.

120 Wall Street, 19th Floor New York, NY 10005 Tel.: 212-809-8585

sswaminathan@lambdalegal.org

Attorney for the Plaintiffs

Avatara A. Smith-Carrington*

Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund,

Inc.

1776 K Street N.W., 8th Floor

Washington DC 20006 Tel.: 202-804-6245

asmithcarrington@lambdalegal.org

Attorney for the Plaintiffs

*admitted pro hac vice

Respectfully submitted,

Tara Borelli*

Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund, Inc.

1 West Court Square, Ste. 105

Decatur, GA 30030 Tel.: 404-897-1880 tborelli@lambdalegal.org

Attorney for the Plaintiffs

Joseph L. Sorkin*
Dean L. Chapman, Jr.*
Kristen W. Chin*
Richard J. D'Amato*
Theodore J. Salwen*

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP

One Bryant Park
New York, NY 10036
Tel.: 212-872-1000
jsorkin@akingump.com
dchapman@akingump.com
kristen.chin@akingump.com
rdamato@akingump.com
jsalwen@akingump.com
Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

Elizabeth D. Scott*

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP

2300 N. Field Street, Suite 1800

Dallas, TX 75201 Tel.: 214-969-2800 edscott@akingump.com Attorney for the Plaintiffs

Christopher J. Gessner*

David Bethea*

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP

Robert S. Strauss Tower 2001 K Street N.W. Washington, DC 20006 Tel.: 202-887-4000 cgessner@akingump.com

cgessner@akingump.com dbethea@akingump.com Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 9, 2023, the undersigned filed the foregoing Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion to Proceed Pseudonymously via this Court's electronic filing system, which sent notice of such filing to the following counsel of record:

Steven J. Griffin	Tamica Daniel
Clark L. Hildabrand	United States Department of Justice
Trenton Meriwether	Housing and Civil Enforcement Section
Ryan N. Henry	950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Brooke A. Huppenthal	4CON
Office of the Tennessee Attorney General	Washington, DC 20530
P.O. Box 20207	(202) 598-9636
Nashville, Tennessee 3720	Tamica.Daniel@usdoj.gov
(615) 741-959	
steven.griffin@ag.tn.gov	
clark.hildabrand@ag.tn.gov	
trenton.meriwether@ag.tn.gov	
ryan.henry@ag.tn.gov	
brooke.huppenthal@ag.tn.gov	
Adam K. Mortara	Alyssa C. Lareau
Lawfair LLC	Coty Montag
40 Burton Hills Blvd., Suite 200	Gloria Yi
Nashville, TN 37215	United States Department of Justice
(773) 750-7154	Federal Coordination and Compliance Section
mortara@lawfairllc.com	950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
	4CON
	Washington, DC 20530
	(202) 305-2994
	Alyssa.Lareau@usdoj.gov
	Coty.Montag@usdoj.gov
	Gloria.Yi@usdoj.gov
	Gioria. Hagusuoj.gov

Cameron T. Norris	Ellen Bowden McIntyre
Consovoy McCarthy PLLC	U.S. Attorney's Office (Nashville)
1600 Wilson Blvd., Suite 700	719 Church Street
Arlington, VA 22209	Suite 3300
(703) 243-9423	Nashville, TN 37203
cam@consovoymccarthy.com	(615) 736-2125
	(615) 401-6626 (fax)
	ellen.bowden2@usdoj.gov

s/ Stella Yarbrough

Stella Yarbrough, BPR No. 033637 ACLU Foundation of Tennessee P.O. Box 120160 Nashville, TN 37212

Tel.: 615-320-7142 syarbrough@aclu-tn.org